

**RESOLUTION NO. 2898-12**

**A RESOLUTION OF THE TOWN COUNCIL OF THE TOWN OF WINDSOR  
AUTHORIZING THE FILING OF AN APPLICATION WITH THE METROPOLITAN  
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION FOR THE ALLOCATION OF FISCAL YEAR  
2012 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUNDS FOR THE  
TRANSPORTATION ENHANCEMENT PROJECT ON OLD REDWOOD HIGHWAY  
AT LAKEWOOD DRIVE**

**WHEREAS**, Article 3 of the Transportation Development Act (TDA), Public Utilities Code (PUC) Section 99200 et seq., authorizes the submission of claims to a regional transportation planning agency for the funding of projects exclusively for the benefit and/or use of pedestrians and bicyclists; and

**WHEREAS**, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), as the regional transportation planning agency for the San Francisco Bay region, has adopted MTC Resolution No. 875, Revised, entitled "Transportation Development Act, Article 3, Pedestrian/Bicycle Projects," which delineates procedures and criteria for submission of requests for the allocation of "TDA Article 3" funding; and

**WHEREAS**, MTC Resolution No. 875, Revised requires that requests for the allocation of TDA Article 3 funding be submitted as part of a single, countywide coordinated claim from each county in the San Francisco Bay region; and

**WHEREAS**, the Town of Windsor desires to submit a request to MTC for the allocation of TDA Article 3 funds to support the projects described in Attachment B to this resolution, which are for the exclusive benefit and/or use of pedestrians and/or bicyclists; now, therefore, be it

**RESOLVED**, that the Town of Windsor declares it is eligible to request an allocation of TDA Article 3 funds pursuant to Section 99234 of the Public Utilities Code, and furthermore, be it

**RESOLVED**, that there is no pending or threatened litigation that might adversely affect the project or projects described in Attachment B to this resolution, or that might impair the ability of the Town of Windsor to carry out the project; and furthermore, be it

**RESOLVED**, that the Town of Windsor attests to the accuracy of and approves the statements in Attachment A to this resolution; and furthermore, be it

**NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED**, that a certified copy of this resolution and its attachments, and any accompanying supporting materials shall be forwarded to the congestion management agency, countywide transportation planning agency, or county association of governments, as the case may be, of Sonoma County for submission to MTC as part of the countywide coordinated TDA Article 3 claim.

**PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 21st day of March 2012 by the following vote:**

**AYES: COUNCILMEMBERS GOBLE, SALMON, SCHOLAR AND  
MAYOR FUDGE**

**NOES: NONE**

**ABSTAIN: NONE**

**ABSENT: COUNCILMEMBER ALLEN**

  
**DEBORA FUDGE, MAYOR**

**ATTEST:**

  
**J. MATTHEW MULLAN,  
DEPUTY TOWN CLERK**

**Attachments:**

1. Attachment A
2. Attachment B

Attachment A

Request to the Metropolitan Transportation Commission for the Allocation of Fiscal Year 2012-2013 Transportation Development Act Article 3 Pedestrian/Bicycle Project Funding

**Findings**

Page 1 of 1

1. That the Town of Windsor is not legally impeded from submitting a request to the Metropolitan Transportation Commission for the allocation of Transportation Development Act (TDA) Article 3 funds, nor is the Town of Windsor legally impeded from undertaking the project(s) described in "Attachment B" of this resolution.
2. That the Town of Windsor has committed adequate staffing resources to complete the project(s) described in Attachment B.
3. A review of the project(s) described in Attachment B has resulted in the consideration of all pertinent matters, including those related to environmental and right-of-way permits and clearances, attendant to the successful completion of the project(s).
4. Issues attendant to securing environmental and right-of-way permits and clearances for the projects described in Attachment B have been reviewed and will be concluded in a manner and on a schedule that will not jeopardize the deadline for the use of the TDA funds being requested.
5. That the project(s) described in Attachment B comply with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA, Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq.).
6. That as portrayed in the budgetary description(s) of the project(s) in Attachment B, the sources of funding other than TDA are assured and adequate for completion of the project(s).
7. That the project(s) described in Attachment B are for capital construction and/or design engineering; and/or for the maintenance of a Class I bikeway which is closed to motorized traffic; and/or for the purposes of restriping Class II bicycle lanes; and/or for the development or support of a bicycle safety education program; and/or for the development of a comprehensive bicycle and/or pedestrian facilities plan, and an allocation of TDA Article 3 funding for such a plan has not been received by the Town of Windsor within the prior five fiscal years.
8. That the project(s) described in Attachment B which are bicycle projects have been included in a detailed bicycle circulation element included in an adopted general plan, or included in an adopted comprehensive bikeway plan (such as outlined in Section 2377 of the California Bikeways Act, Streets and Highways Code section 2370 et seq.).
9. That any project described in Attachment B that is a "Class I Bikeway," meets the mandatory minimum safety design criteria published in Chapter 1000 of the California Highway Design Manual.
10. That the project(s) described in Attachment B are ready to commence implementation during the fiscal year of the requested allocation.
11. That the Town of Windsor agrees to maintain, or provide for the maintenance of, the project(s) and facilities described in Attachment B, for the benefit of and use by the public.

Attachment B

**TDA Article 3 Project Application Form**

Fiscal Year of this Claim: 2012/2013                      Applicant: Town of Windsor

Contact person: Alejandro Perez

Mailing Address: 8400 Windsor Road, Windsor CA 95492

E-Mail Address: aperez@townofwindsor.com                      Telephone: 707-838-5318

Secondary Contact (in event primary not available) Richard R. Burt

E-Mail Address: rburt@townofwindsor.com                      Telephone: 707-838-5343

**Short Title Description of Project: Old Redwood Highway at Lakewood Drive Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvement Project**

Amount of claim: \$170,992

**Functional Description of Project:**

This project will widen a portion of Old Redwood Hwy. at the US 101 Northbound Off-Ramp, relocate the splitter island on Lakewood Drive, install a crosswalk across Lakewood Drive, install an in-roadway warning system to the crosswalk at the Northbound On-Ramps to US 101, add a second left turn lane on Lakewood Drive, install a high visibility crosswalk, replace existing traffic signal poles with decorative poles at Lakewood Drive/Northbound Off-Ramps.

**Financial Plan:**

TDA(3) funding will be used for engineering, construction and construction inspections.

Project Elements	FY 2011/12	FY 2012-13	Totals
PS&E & R/W	\$92,600		\$92,600
Construction		\$609,392	\$609,392
Construction Engineering		\$42,000	\$42,000
Admin/Contingency	\$10,000	\$25,000	\$25,000
<b>Totals</b>	<b>\$102,600</b>	<b>\$676,392</b>	<b>\$778,992</b>

**Project Elements:**

Funding Source	All Prior FYs	Application FY	Next FY	Following FYs	Totals
TDA Article 3		\$102,600	\$67,392		\$169,992
Transportation Enhancement			\$609,000		\$609,000
<b>Totals</b>		<b>\$102,600</b>	<b>\$676,392</b>		<b>\$778,992</b>

Project Eligibility:	YES?/NO?
A. Has the project been approved by the claimant's governing body? (If "NO," provide the approximate date approval is anticipated).	YES
B. Has this project previously received TDA Article 3 funding? If "YES," provide an explanation on a separate page.	NO
C. For "bikeways," does the project meet Caltrans minimum safety design criteria pursuant to Chapter 1000 of the California Highway Design Manual? (Available on the internet via: <a href="http://www.dot.ca.gov">http://www.dot.ca.gov</a> ).	YES
D. Has the project been reviewed by a Bicycle Advisory Committee? (If "NO," provide an explanation).	YES
E. Has the public availability of the environmental compliance documentation for the project (pursuant to CEQA) been evidenced by the dated stamping of the document by the county clerk or county recorder? (required only for projects that include construction).	YES
F. Will the project be completed before the allocation expires? Enter the anticipated completion date of project (month and year) 12/2014 _____	YES
G. Have provisions been made by the claimant to maintain the project or facility, or has the claimant arranged for such maintenance by another agency? (If an agency other than the Claimant is to maintain the facility provide its name: _____ )	YES